Mr. M. S. PUTTARAMA SETTY.—Are Government certain that the Sub-Division Officer has set right matters? The Sub-Division Officer's inquiry has not yet been completed.

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—We shall make enquiries again.

Mr. M. S. PUTTARAMA SETTY.—Is it not a fact that the Convener has leased out the temple lands for a period of 5 years? What was his authority for doing so?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—We shall inquire into that question also.

Mr. Shroff RANGASAMI SETTY.—Did not a deputation of the devotees wait on the Muzrai Commissioner and complain that the Convener was acting against *mamool* in several respects and that he was not fit for the post?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Government are not aware of it.

Mr. Shroff RANGASAMI SETTY.—Why was the former Parpathegar removed and on what authority was a new substitute on a very much higher pay (Rs. 15) appointed?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—That was considered necessary.

Sri Mallikarjunaswami Temple at Muduktore.

Q.—7. **Messrs. Patel SIDDE GOWDA and N. VEERARAJ URS** (T.-Narasipur Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) (i) whether it is a fact that there are *Golakas* during the *Rathothsavam* in the *Sri Mallikarjunaswami* Temple at Muduktore, T.-Narsipur Taluk;

(ii) if so, in how many places;

(iii) whether the *Golakas* are the property of Government or of the *Archak*;

(iv) whether this is auctioned or disposed of otherwise by any one;

(v) what is the annual collection under this head;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that Government are recovering 3 pies on each cocoanut offered; what is the income realised under this item and how long since is this in vogue;

(*c*) whether such collection is being made in all the Muzrai temples;

(*d*) whether it is binding that even after payment of three pies per cocoanut the *Archak* should be given either three pies on every cocoanut or one of the halves of the cocoanut offered;

(*e*) what was the income and expenditure of the above temple during the *Jatras* in 1934-35;

(*f*) whether the *Dharmadarsis* are consulted in the matter of the items of expenditure during the *Jatras*; if so, is it prior to or after the expenditure is incurred?

A.—**The GENERAL SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. M. Venkatesa Iyengar).**—

(*a*) (i) Yes.

(ii) In two places, one at the Swami *Sannidhi* and the other at the Ammanavara *Sannidhi*.

(iii) The realisations go for the benefit of *Thammadies* as per *mamool*.

(iv) No.

(v) Government have no information.

(*b*) Yes. The income realised from this item during the year 1934-35 was Rs. 152-5-0 and in 1935-36 it was Rs. 217-0-9. The fees have been in force since 1934-35.

(*c*) The levy is sanctioned in all cases where the resources of the institution require to be augmented.

(*d*) No.

(*e*) Income Rs. 3,627-5-0. Expenditure Rs. 824-6-9.

(*f*) No. The expenditure being almost the same every year, it is stated that bills are scrutinised and sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.—Why should a levy of 3 pies on each cocoanut be made?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—It is levied usually in all big temples and is intended for supplementing their income.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.—Have any repairs to the temple been effected with the money so far received?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—There should be sufficient collection before money can be spent on works.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.—What is the amount of money now at credit?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.—Why not utilise the available money for some of the urgent works? For example the temple *ratha* is badly in need of repairs.

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—If specific proposals are made in that behalf, Government will consider them.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.—Why has this *mamool* of levying 3 pies on each cocoanut not been introduced in temples which have not even a *Padithara* grant, whereas it has been done in the case of this temple which has a large amount of money at its credit? Will this levy not work as a hardship on the devotees, considering that the *Thammadies* also have to be paid through the *Golakas*?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—They are not bound to pay anything into the *Golakas*.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.—Is it not a fact that devotees are not admitted into the temple unless they put 3 pies into the *Golaka*?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—No; if it is so, it is wrong. If the matter is reported to the Sub-Division Officer, he will set it right.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.—Why is the *ratha* left uncared for, though it is possible to repair it with the available funds?

The MUZRAI COMMISSIONER (Mr. K. V. Anantaraman).—The question is being examined by me. The scale of expenditure of the temple has to be fixed first, with reference to the income, and the question of urgent works taken up next.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.—Are there *Dharmadarsis* and a convener for the temple?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—I presume so.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.—If so, why should they not be consulted in the matter?

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—They will be consulted.

Mr. K. L. NANJAPPA NADIG.—In view of the collections made through the *Golakas*, why not do away with the 3 pies levy on each cocoanut?

Mr. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—The Muzrai Commissioner will make inquiries into all matters and decide them in consultation with the *Dharmadarsis*.

Promotion of P.W.D. Surveyors as Overseers.

Q.—8. **Mr. H. HOMBE GOWDA** (T.-Narasipur Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of Surveyors (among Engineering Graduates) that are employed in the Public Works Department;

(*b*) how many of them are Brahmins and how many non-Brahmins?

(*c*) under what cadre are they included;

(*d*) how many years of service have the non-Brahmin graduates put in in the department as Surveyors;

(*e*) whether it was the assured policy of the Government that, in view of the very few graduates in the department, as vacancies occur, the non-Brahmin Surveyors would be drafted on to the Overseer's posts;

(*f*) (i) how many Engineering Graduates have, subsequent to the appointment of these Surveyors, been taken as Overseers directly and (ii) how many among these Surveyors are promoted to that post and to what castes do they belong?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Diwan Bahadur N. N. Ayyangar).**—

(*a*) 72.

(*b*) Brahmins 64.
Non-Brahmins 8

(*c*) Under "Lower Subordinate Cadre."

(*d*) They have rendered service varying from 1 year and 4 months to 3 years and 7 months.

(*e*) No.

(*f*) (i) 12.
(ii) Brahmins 5.
Lingayet 1.